

To: 'Laura Blake'[Laura.Blake@cadmusgroup.com]
Cc: Wu, Jennifer[Wu.Jennifer@epa.gov]; Carlin, Jayne[Carlin.Jayne@epa.gov]; Catherine Dunlap[Catherine.Dunlap@cadmusgroup.com]; Jeff Maxted[Jeff.Maxted@cadmusgroup.com]; SEEDS Joshua[SEEDS.Joshua@deq.state.or.us]
From: MICHIE Ryan
Sent: Thur 4/11/2013 12:18:46 AM
Subject: RE: Draft roads digitizing protocol
MidCoastBasin_RoadsDigitizing_Protocol (04-10-13) RM_edits.docx

Hi,

Attached are DEQs edits to the road digitization protocol.

From: Laura Blake [mailto:Laura.Blake@cadmusgroup.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 1:07 PM
To: MICHIE Ryan
Cc: Jennifer Wu; 'carlin.jayne@epa.gov'; Catherine Dunlap; Jeff Maxted
Subject: Draft roads digitizing protocol

Hi Ryan

The draft roads digitizing protocol is attached for your review. In addition to any comments that you may have on the attached, please also get back to us with responses to the following questions:

1. Do you have a preferred projection for the new roads shapefile (e.g., consistent with Oregon's existing Transportation Network geodatabase-NAD_1983_Oregon_Statewide_Lambert_Feet_Intl)?
2. Is adding the road type only (i.e., forest/logging road vs. highway) an acceptable approach, or is the road name/ID needed for future analyses?
3. Our interpretation is that only roads that are not already in the existing roads geodatabase will be digitized. Is this an accurate assessment?

4. Should we split existing road features if a new feature appears to intersect it? Should we leave existing features alone? Or should we create a layer where all features (including existing features) terminate at an intersection (or end of road)?

Laura J. Blake | Senior Associate

The Cadmus Group, Inc.

100 5th Avenue | Waltham, MA, 02451-8727

Phone: 617.673.7148 | Fax: 617.673.7348

www.cadmusgroup.com

Follow us on social media:

[Facebook](#) [Icon](#) [Twitter](#) [Icon](#) [LinkedIn](#)